Extremum seeking for real-time optimal drilling control

UJF Aarsnes¹, OM Aamo² and Miroslav Krstic³

¹Norwegian Research Centre
 ² Norwegian University of Science and Technology
 ³ University of California, San Diego



Figure: Net ROP correlation for 36 wells (Maidla et al. (2018)).

Optimal drilling parameters are dependent on changing conditions.



Figure: Net ROP correlation for 36 wells (Maidla et al. (2018)).

- Optimal drilling parameters are dependent on changing conditions.
- Varies between wells and from stand to stand.



Figure: Net ROP correlation for 36 wells (Maidla et al. (2018)).

 Drilling becomes inefficient beyond certain WOB (bit foundering).

 Drilling becomes inefficient beyond certain WOB (bit foundering).



Figure: Bit foundering in laboratory tests (left) due to Detournay et al. (2008) and as seen in the field (right) due to Dupriest and Koederitz (2005).

- Drilling becomes inefficient beyond certain WOB (bit foundering).
- Founder point dependent on cutter sharpness, rock properties, bit cleaning etc.



Figure: Bit foundering in laboratory tests (left) due to Detournay et al. (2008) and as seen in the field (right) due to Dupriest and Koederitz (2005).

- Drilling becomes inefficient beyond certain WOB (bit foundering).
- Founder point dependent on cutter sharpness, rock properties, bit cleaning etc.
- ▶ Optimal *WOB* constantly changing.



Figure: Bit foundering in laboratory tests (left) due to Detournay et al. (2008) and as seen in the field (right) due to Dupriest and Koederitz (2005).

Hypothesis:

Hypothesis:

1. Optimal drilling parameters cannot be found solely from data-analysis and modeling ahead of time.

Hypothesis:

- 1. Optimal drilling parameters cannot be found solely from data-analysis and modeling ahead of time.
- 2. It *must* take the observered conditions of the current operation into account and adapt accordingly.



Arbitrary unknown quadratic function



1. Add periodic perturbance to input



- 1. Add periodic perturbance to input
- 2. Integrating the product of perturbation and output.



- 1. Add periodic perturbance to input
- 2. Integrating the product of perturbation and output.
- 3. In phase -> Increase, out of phase -> Decrease.



Arbitrary unknown quadratic function (Krstić and Wang, 2000)



$$\tilde{\theta} = \hat{\theta} - \theta^*$$
(1)
$$\frac{d\tilde{\theta}}{dt} = ka\sin(\omega t) \left[f^* + \frac{f''}{2} \left(\tilde{\theta} + a\sin(\omega t) \right)^2 \right]$$
(2)





1. Top drive suspended by travelling block



- 1. Top drive suspended by travelling block
- 2. Travelling block moved up and down by spooling drill lines



- 1. Top drive suspended by travelling block
- 2. Travelling block moved up and down by spooling drill lines
- 3. Hanging weight of drilling system measured as force at deadline (*hook load*)

Block diagrm



ESC scheme



Simulation model

Topside boundary: Block velocity



$$v(t, x = 0) = v_0(t)$$

Simulation model

Topside boundary: Block velocity



$$v(t, x = 0) = v_0(t)$$

Distributed dynamics



Simulation model





$$v(t,x=0)=v_0(t)$$

Distributed dynamics

$$\frac{\partial w(t,x)}{\partial t} + AE \frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial x} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{A\rho} \frac{\partial w(t,x)}{\partial x} = F + G$$

Bottom boundary: ROP

$$M_b \dot{v}_b = w_b(v_b, w_L) - w_L + \frac{ar{
ho}}{
ho} M_b g,$$

Bit-rock interaction





Bit-rock interaction

Approximation of weight on bit – ROP relation:

►



Assume constant rotation ω_{bit} :

$$d(t) = \frac{v_b(t)}{\omega_{\text{bit}}} \tag{3}$$

Bit-rock interaction

Approximation of weight on bit – ROP relation:



• Assume constant rotation ω_{bit} :

$$d(t) = \frac{v_b(t)}{\omega_{\text{bit}}}$$
(3)

• Weight on bit
$$w_b(d)$$
:

$$\begin{cases} w_b \in [0, w_{f*}], & d = 0 \\ w_b = w_{f*} + K_a d, & d_b > d \ge 0 \\ w_b \in [w_{f*} + K_a d_b, \infty], & d \ge d_b \end{cases}$$

Auto-driller (Boyadjieff et al., 2003)

Control hook-load to w₀^{sp} by PI feedback:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_0(t) = & \mathcal{K}_p(\mathbf{w}_0(t) - \mathbf{w}_0^{sp}) \\ &+ & \mathcal{K}_i \int_0^t (\mathbf{w}_0(\tau) - \mathbf{w}_0^{sp}) \mathsf{d}\tau \end{aligned}$$

Auto-driller (Boyadjieff et al., 2003)

Control hook-load to w₀^{sp} by PI feedback:

$$v_{0}(t) = \mathcal{K}_{p}(w_{0}(t) - w_{0}^{sp}) + \mathcal{K}_{i} \int_{0}^{t} (w_{0}(\tau) - w_{0}^{sp}) d\tau$$

Given w₀^{sp} corresponds to a steady-ste v₀ =ROP.

Auto-driller (Boyadjieff et al., 2003)

 Control hook-load to w₀^{sp} by PI feedback:

$$v_{0}(t) = \mathcal{K}_{p}(w_{0}(t) - w_{0}^{sp}) + \mathcal{K}_{i} \int_{0}^{t} (w_{0}(\tau) - w_{0}^{sp}) d\tau$$

Given w₀^{sp} corresponds to a steady-ste v₀ =ROP.



ESC scheme



System maps θ to $y(\theta)$.

Static map

At equilibrium we find:

$$y(heta) = egin{cases} -k_ heta heta, & ext{Phase I} \ rac{\omega_{ ext{bit}} - K_a k_ heta}{K_a + \omega_{ ext{bit}} k_
u} heta + K_y, & ext{Phase II} \ -k_ heta heta + d_b \omega_{ ext{bit}}, & ext{Phase III} \end{cases}$$

$$K_y = rac{\omega_{ ext{bit}}}{K_a + \omega_{ ext{bit}} K_v} \left(M_{HW} g - w_0^{nom} - w_{f*}
ight)$$

Static map

At equilibrium we find:

$$y(heta) = egin{cases} -k_ heta heta, & ext{Phase I} \ rac{\omega_{ ext{bit}} - K_a k_ heta}{K_a + \omega_{ ext{bit}} k_
u} heta + K_y, & ext{Phase II} \ -k_ heta heta + d_b \omega_{ ext{bit}}, & ext{Phase III} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{y} = rac{\omega_{ ext{bit}}}{\mathcal{K}_{a} + \omega_{ ext{bit}} \mathcal{K}_{v}} \left(\mathcal{M}_{HW} g - w_{0}^{nom} - w_{f*}
ight)$$

Required assumptions:

1.
$$0 < k_{\theta} < \frac{\omega_{\text{bit}}}{K_a}$$

2. $w_0^{nom} < M_{HW}g - w_{f*}$

Static map

At equilibrium we find:

$$y(heta) = egin{cases} -k_ heta heta, & ext{Phase I} \ rac{\omega_{ ext{bit}} - K_a k_ heta}{K_a + \omega_{ ext{bit}} k_
u} heta + K_y, & ext{Phase II} \ -k_ heta heta + d_b \omega_{ ext{bit}}, & ext{Phase III} \end{cases}$$

$$K_y = rac{\omega_{ ext{bit}}}{K_a + \omega_{ ext{bit}} K_v} \left(M_{HW} g - w_0^{nom} - w_{f*}
ight)$$

Required assumptions:

1.
$$0 < k_{\theta} < \frac{\omega_{\text{bit}}}{K_a}$$

2. $w_0^{nom} < M_{HW}g - w_{f*}$

Then convergence to Phase II/III transition as a peak

Simulation



Drilling response with extremum seeking control started at 120 seconds.

Bibliography

- George Boyadjieff, Dave Murray, Alan Orr, Mike Porche, and Peter Thompson. Design Considerations and Field Performance of an Advanced Automatic Driller. In SPE/IADC Drilling Conference. Society of Petroleum Engineers, apr 2003. doi: 10.2118/79827-MS. URL http://www.onepetro.org/doi/10.2118/79827-MS.
- Emmanuel Detournay, Thomas Richard, and Mike Shepherd. Drilling response of drag bits: Theory and experiment. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 45(8):1347–1360, dec 2008. ISSN 13651609. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrmms.2008.01.010. URL http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1365160908000245.
- Fred E. Dupriest and William L. Koederitz. Maximizing Drill Rates with Real-Time Surveillance of Mechanical Specific Energy. SPE/IADC Drilling Conference, 2005. doi: 10.2118/92194-MS. URL http://www.onepetro.org/doi/10.2118/92194-MS.
- Miroslav Krstić and H-H. Wang. Stability of Extremum Seeking Feedback for General Nonlinear Dynamic Systems. Automatica, 36: 595–601, 2000. doi: 10.1016/S0005-1098(99)00183-1.
- Eric Maidla, William Maidla, John Rigg, Michael Crumrine, and Philipp Wolf-Zoellner. Drilling Analysis Using Big Data has been Misused and Abused. In IADC/SPE Drilling Conference and Exhibition. Society of Petroleum Engineers, mar 2018. doi: 10.2118/189583-MS. URL http://www.onepetro.org/doi/10.2118/189583-MS.